Contact information sheet company

Company name	[illegible]
Company address	[illegible]
Company website	[illegible]
Company contact e-mail	[illegible]
Company contact phone	[illegible]
Company contact person	Yana Nikolaevna
Position	Head of Sales
Company activities	Production of Bakery & Pastry Products, Wholesale
Date of establishment	1992
Number of employees	50 (incl. 5 administrative, 45 production)
Number of production lines	2
Production capacity	1 line - 1 shift - 1 product (cookies) 1 line - 1 shift - 1 product (wafers)
Production area	1000 m²
Production area (specify if production is in a separate building)	Production is in a separate building

Table 1.1 – Production

No.	Parameter	Yes/No	Comment
1	Production facility is in a separate building or part of a multi-purpose building	Yes	Production is in a separate building
2	Production facility has a clear layout (raw materials, semi-finished products, finished products, packaging, waste)	Yes	Clear layout
3	Production facility has an HACCP plan (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points)	No	
4	Production facility has a pest control system (traps, bait stations, etc.)	Yes	Pest control system
5	Production facility has a cleaning and disinfection schedule	Yes	Cleaning and disinfection schedule
6	Production facility has a system for tracking raw materials and finished products (batch number, expiry date)	Yes	Tracking system
7	Production facility has a system for recalling or withdrawing products from the market	No	
8	Production facility has a system for waste disposal (including food waste)	Yes	Waste disposal system

Table 1.2 – Assessment Summary Scale (according to Rosstandart)

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage	Grade (according to Rosstandart)
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100	5.0
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89	4.0
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79	3.0
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69	2.0
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59	1.0
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49	0.0

Table 2 – Summary: Sanitary Staffing of pastel bar-cookies

No.	Category	Max. Points	Max. Weighted Points	Actual Points	Actual Weighted Points	Comments	Critical Failure	Critical Failure Description	Critical Failure Impact	Critical Failure Corrective Action	Critical Failure Deadline	Critical Failure Responsible
1.1	Personnel Training	20%	20%	17.5	17.5%	Training records are incomplete, some employees have not undergone refresher training	No					
1.2	Personnel Hygiene	20%	20%	18	18%	Most employees follow hygiene rules, but there are minor violations (e.g., jewelry)	No					
1.3	Pest Control	20%	20%	19	19%	Pest control is carried out regularly, no signs of pests	No					
1.4	Cleaning and Disinfection	20%	20%	16	16%	Cleaning schedule is in place, but some areas are missed	No					
1.5	Raw Material Control	20%	20%	18	18%	Raw materials are inspected upon receipt, but there are minor violations in storage conditions	No					
	Total	100%	100%	88.5	88.5%							

Table 3 – Summary: Sanitary Staffing of pastel bar-cookies

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 4 – Summary: Sanitary Staffing of pastel bar-cookies

No.	Category	Max. Points	Max. Weighted Points	Actual Points	Actual Weighted Points	Comments	Critical Failure	Critical Failure Description	Critical Failure Impact	Critical Failure Corrective Action	Critical Failure Deadline	Critical Failure Responsible
1.1	Personnel Training	20%	20%	17.5	17.5%	Training records are incomplete, some employees have not undergone refresher training	No					
1.2	Personnel Hygiene	20%	20%	18	18%	Most employees follow hygiene rules, but there are minor violations (e.g., jewelry)	No					
1.3	Pest Control	20%	20%	19	19%	Pest control is carried out regularly, no signs of pests	No					
1.4	Cleaning and Disinfection	20%	20%	16	16%	Cleaning schedule is in place, but some areas are missed	No					
1.5	Raw Material Control	20%	20%	18	18%	Raw materials are inspected upon receipt, but there are minor violations in storage conditions	No					
	Total	100%	100%	88.5	88.5%							

Table 5 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 6 – Summary: Assessment Scale

No.	Category	Max. Points	Max. Weighted Points	Actual Points	Actual Weighted Points	Comments	Critical Failure	Critical Failure Description	Critical Failure Impact	Critical Failure Corrective Action	Critical Failure Deadline	Critical Failure Responsible
1.1	Personnel Training	20%	20%	17.5	17.5%	Training records are incomplete, some employees have not undergone refresher training	No					
1.2	Personnel Hygiene	20%	20%	18	18%	Most employees follow hygiene rules, but there are minor violations (e.g., jewelry)	No					
1.3	Pest Control	20%	20%	19	19%	Pest control is carried out regularly, no signs of pests	No					
1.4	Cleaning and Disinfection	20%	20%	16	16%	Cleaning schedule is in place, but some areas are missed	No					
1.5	Raw Material Control	20%	20%	18	18%	Raw materials are inspected upon receipt, but there are minor violations in storage conditions	No					
	Total	100%	100%	88.5	88.5%							

Table 7.1 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 7.2 – Summary: Assessment Scale

No.	Category	Max. Points	Max. Weighted Points	Actual Points	Actual Weighted Points	Comments	Critical Failure	Critical Failure Description	Critical Failure Impact	Critical Failure Corrective Action	Critical Failure Deadline	Critical Failure Responsible
1.1	Personnel Training	20%	20%	17.5	17.5%	Training records are incomplete, some employees have not undergone refresher training	No					
1.2	Personnel Hygiene	20%	20%	18	18%	Most employees follow hygiene rules, but there are minor violations (e.g., jewelry)	No					
1.3	Pest Control	20%	20%	19	19%	Pest control is carried out regularly, no signs of pests	No					
1.4	Cleaning and Disinfection	20%	20%	16	16%	Cleaning schedule is in place, but some areas are missed	No					
1.5	Raw Material Control	20%	20%	18	18%	Raw materials are inspected upon receipt, but there are minor violations in storage conditions	No					
	Total	100%	100%	88.5	88.5%							

Table 8 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 9 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 10 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 11 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 12 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 13 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 14 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 15 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 16 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 17 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 18 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 19 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 20 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 21 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 22 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 23 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 24 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 25 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 26 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 27 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 28 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 29 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 30 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 31 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 32 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 33 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 34 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 35 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 36 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 37 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 38 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 39 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 40 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 41 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 42 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 43 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 44 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 45 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 46 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 47 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 48 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 49 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 50 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 51 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 52 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 53 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 54 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 55 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 56 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 57 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 58 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 59 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 60 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 61 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 62 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 63 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 64 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 65 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 66 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 67 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfactory) (2)	60–69
2.0–2.9 (unsatisfactory) (1)	50–59
0.0–1.9 (critical failure) (0)	0–49

Table 68 – Summary: Assessment Scale

Assessment Scale	Approximate Percentage
4.5–5.0 (excellent) (5)	90–100
4.0–4.4 (very good) (4)	80–89
3.5–3.9 (good) (3)	70–79
3.0–3.4 (satisfact